Case: 3:93-cr-20024 Document #: 2004 Filed: 09/30/04 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:243

Minute Order Form (06/97)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			Philip G.	Reinhard	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge			
CASE NUMBER 93			93 CR 2	0074 - 3	DATE	ľ		
CASE TITLE				United States vs. Tidwell				
MOTION: [In the following box (a of the motion being pro				•				
DOCKET ENTRY:								
(1)	☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]						the after that the free consells.	
(2)		Brief in support of motion due						
(3)		Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due						
(4)		□ Ruling/Hearing on set for at						
(5)		☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						
(6)	Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at						•	
(7)	☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on _			at				
(8)	☐ [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at							
(9)				n/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to] tule 41.1				
(10)	[Other docket entry] For the reasons stated on the reverse memorandum opinion and order, the court denies Tidwell's application to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees.							
(11) [For further detail see order on the reverse side of the original minute order.]								
(11)			dvised in open court.	on the levelse side	of the original limited	order.j	Document	
	No notices required.					number of notices	Number	
	Notices mailed by judge's staff.					OCT OF THE		
	Notified counsel by telephone.					date docketed	2008	
<u>·</u>	Docketing to mail notices. Mail AO 450 form.					M/V)	γ_{OO} ,	
	Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			10000 1000	44 7 5 88 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	docketing to the initials		
			courtroom	date mailed notice				
LC			deputy's initials		130 mil	1 (1)		
					e received in lerk's Office	mailing decurs initials		

Case: 3:93-cr-20024 Document #: 2004 Filed: 09/30/04 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:244

(Reserved for use by the Court)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Samuel Tidwell, a federal prisoner, filed an appeal from the denial of his motion filed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). He has now filed in this court an application to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

In ruling on Tidwell's application, this court must determine whether his appeal is taken in good.

In ruling on Tidwell's application, this court must determine whether his appeal is taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). An appeal is taken in good faith if a "reasonable person could suppose that the appeal has some merit." Walker v. O'Brien, 216 F. 3d 626, 632 (7th Cir. 2000).

Because Tidwell's section 3582(c)(2) motion was without merit, the court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith. Thus, the court denies Tidwell's application to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees.